EXPLORATION Total - D: __ / 6

| EXPLORAT | TION Aspect 1 - Defining the pro | oblem and selecting the varia | bles |
|----------|--|---|------------------------------------|
| EX 1.1 | Clearly communicates a focused title | | |
| | | you are measuring (dependent varia | |
| EX 1.2 | , , , , , , | the independent variable along with | ŭ |
| EX 1.3 | Opening paragraph/s clearly states t | the dependent variable along with m | etric units and range. |
| EX 1.4 | Clearly controls variables listed in descending order of importance and lists relevant uncontrolled variables. | | |
| | Controls are of proper size if of the essence. | | |
| EX 1.5 | Design provides data that can be plo | otted as a functional relationship rath | ner than a bar graph. |
| EX 1.6 | Opening paragraph/s clearly gives a | n overview of your design in sufficier | nt detail that the reader can see |
| | exactly what you will do before read | ling further. | |
| Mark | Not at all = 0 | Partial = 1 | Complete = 2 |
| Awarded | Does not identify a problem or does | Formulates a problem that is | Formulates a focused problem and |
| | not identify any relevant variables. | incomplete or identifies only some | identifies the relevant variables. |
| | | variables. | |

Y = Yes, you definitely succeeded. / N = No, you are missing this. / M = Maybe yes, maybe no, I can't really tell. / - = NA.

| EXPLORA | TION Aspect 2 - Controlling the | variables | | |
|---------|---|--|---|----|
| EX 2.1 | Method explains how the independ | ent variable is manipulated. | | |
| | Method clearly provides an appropr | riate range of values for the independ | dent variable. | |
| | Method clearly explains how and when the independent variable is measured and recorded. | | | |
| | Instrumentation measuring independent variable is clearly described / annotated. | | | |
| | This portion of the method clearly is repeatable by peers. | | | |
| EX 2.2 | Method clearly explains how and w | hen the dependent variable is measu | red and recorded. | |
| | Instrumentation measuring the dep | Instrumentation measuring the dependent variable is clearly described / annotated. | | |
| | Method will provide data that will produce a function. | | | |
| | This portion of the method clearly is | s repeatable by peers. | | |
| EX 2.3 | Method effectively controls / limits | the factors listed in EX 1.4. | | |
| | Instrumentation used for control va | riables is clearly described / annotate | ed. | |
| | This portion of the method clearly is | s repeatable by peers. | | |
| EX 2.4 | If needed, a diagram of the experim | ental set-up is provided to clarify the | e method. | |
| EX 2.5 | An equipment / material list is provi | ided to meet the requirements of the | e method. | |
| EX 2.6 | Full citation is given for published p | ictures and diagrams if used. | | |
| Mark | Not at all = 0 | Partial = 1 | Complete = 2 | |
| Awarded | Designs a method that does not | Designs a method that makes some | Designs a method for the effective contro | اد |
| | control the variables. | attempt to control variables. | of variables. | |

Y = Yes, you definitely succeeded. / N = No, you are missing this. / M = Maybe yes, maybe no, I can't really tell. / - = NA.

| EXPLORA | TION Aspect 3 – Develop a met | hod for the collection of the d | lata |
|-----------------|--|---|---|
| EX 3.1 | Method gathers a minimum of five (5) variations of the independent variable defined in EX 1.2 and explicitly states when to record these variations. | | |
| EX 3.2 | Method gathers a minimum of three record these trials. | e (3) trials for each variation in EX 3.1 | 1 and explicitly states when to |
| EX 3.3 | Method is clear enough that a peer could perform the investigation without coaching. | | |
| EX 3.4 | Method clearly gathers data specific | ed in EX 1.1. | |
| EX 3.5 | Data is gathered by real instrumentation, not imaginary devices you must invent that are clearly beyond the scope of a science class. | | |
| Mark Awarded | Not at all = 0 Develops a method that does not allow for any relevant data to be collected. | Partial = 1 Develops a method that allows for the collection of insufficient data. | Complete = 2 Develops a method that allows for the collection of insufficient data. |

COMMUNICATION Total - CO: ___ / 4

| COMMUN | COMMUNICATION Aspect 1 – Structuring the presentation | | | |
|-----------------|---|-------------|--------------|--|
| CO 1.1 | Presentation is clear and well-struct | ured. | | |
| CO 1.2 | Presentation is easy to follow by reader. | | | |
| Mark Awarded | Not at all = 0 | Partial = 1 | Complete = 2 | |

Y = Yes, you definitely succeeded. / N = No, you are missing this. / M = Maybe yes, maybe no, I can't really tell. / - = NA.

| COMMUN | COMMUNICATION Aspect 2 – Focusing the presentation | | | |
|-----------------|--|--|--------------|--|
| CO 2.1 | Report facilitates ready understand | ing of the focus of the investigation. | | |
| CO 2.2 | Report facilitates ready understand | ling of the process of the investigation | n. | |
| CO 2.3 | Report facilitates ready understand | Report facilitates ready understanding of the outcomes of the investigation. | | |
| Mark Awarded | Not at all = 0 | Partial = 1 | Complete = 2 | |

ANALYSIS Total - DCP: __ / 6

| ANALYSIS | Aspect 1 – Recording raw data | | | |
|----------|---|---|--|-------|
| AN 1.1 | All raw unprocessed data is attached | d as the last page of the investigatio | n. | |
| AN 1.2 | Meaningful title is interpretable at a | glance. | | |
| AN 1.3 | Fully ruled table. | | | |
| AN 1.4 | Column headings are correct and co | Column headings are correct and complete. | | |
| AN 1.5 | Units of measurement clearly stated | Units of measurement clearly stated. | | |
| AN 1.6 | All presented raw data has uncertain | nty clearly labeled and justified. | | |
| AN 1.7 | All presented raw data has consister | nt decimal places. | | |
| Mark | Not at all = 0 | Partial = 1 | Complete = 2 | |
| Awarded | No recording of raw data is carried out | Records the quantitative raw data, | Records the quantitative raw data corr | ectly |
| | or major mistakes are made in | but with some mistakes and / or | | |
| | recording | omissions | | |

Y = Yes, you definitely succeeded. / N = No, you are missing this. / M = Maybe yes, maybe no, I can't really tell. / - = NA.

| ANALYSIS | ANALYSIS Aspect 2 – Processing raw data | | | |
|----------|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| AN 2.1 | Correct calculation of dependent va | riable column. | | |
| AN 2.2 | Sample calculation of dependent va | riable clearly shown and explained. | | |
| AN 2.3 | Correct number of significant figure | s is evident in the dependent variable | e column. | |
| AN 2.4 | Correct calculation of dependent va | riable uncertainty. | | |
| AN 2.5 | Calculation of dependent variable u | ncertainty clearly shown and explain | ed. | |
| AN 2.6 | Correct number of significant figure | s is evident in the dependent variable | e uncertainty. | |
| Mark | Not at all = 0 | Partial = 1 | Complete = 2 | |
| Awarded | No processing of raw data is carried | Processes the quantitative raw data, | Processes the quantitative raw data | |
| | out or major mistakes are made in | but with some mistakes and / or | correctly | |
| | processing | omissions | | |

Y = Yes, you definitely succeeded. / N = No, you are missing this. / M = Maybe yes, maybe no, I can't really tell. / - = NA.

| ANALYSIS | S Aspect 3 – Presenting processe | ed data | |
|----------|--|---|--|
| | | TABLE | |
| AN 3.1 | Processed table has meaningful title | interpretable at a glance. | |
| AN 3.2 | Processed data is tabulated and fully | y ruled. | |
| AN 3.3 | Column headings are clear and corre | ect with <i>name, symbol / units, uncer</i> | rtainty. |
| | | DATA GRAPH | |
| AN 3.4 | Graphs have meaningful titles interp | oretable at a glance. | |
| AN 3.5 | Graphs chosen are of correct type. | | |
| AN 3.6 | Appropriate scale evident with horiz | ontal and vertical grid lines. | |
| AN 3.7 | Vertical axis clearly labeled with vari | iable <i>name, symbol / units</i> . | |
| AN 3.8 | Horizontal axis clearly labeled with v | ariable name, symbol / units. | |
| AN 3.9 | Points plotted accurately. | | |
| AN 3.10 | Vertical error bars are evident and o | of the correct size. | |
| AN 3.11 | Correct trend line is evident togethe | er with its slope and units. | |
| | | UNCERTAINTY GRAPHS | |
| AN 3.12 | Graph clearly shown of maximum ar | nd minimum slopes allowed by first | and last error bars. |
| AN 3.13 | Calculation of slope uncertainty is cl | early shown on or near the slope ur | ncertainty graph. |
| AN 3.14 | Slope and slope uncertainty are shown graphs. | wn together including correct units | on or near the slope uncertainty |
| AN 3.15 | Intercept and intercept uncertainty graphs. | are shown together including correc | ct units on or near the uncertainty |
| Mark | Not at all = 0 | Partial = 1 | Complete = 2 |
| Awarded | Presents processed data inappropriately or incomprehensibly. | Presents processed data appropriately, but with some mistakes and / or omissions. | Presents processed data appropriately. |

EVALUATION Total - CE: ___ / 6

| EVALUATI | EVALUATION Aspect 1 - Concluding | | | |
|----------|---|---------------------------------------|---|-----|
| EV 1.1 | Concludes in a balanced tone and do | oes not interpret minor or unclear tr | ends as definite fact. | |
| EV 1.2 | Clearly states the outcome of the in- | vestigation. | | |
| EV 1.3 | Clearly states and interprets the slope and its uncertainty both symbolically and in words. | | | |
| EV 1.4 | Clearly states and interprets the intercept and its uncertainty both symbolically and in words. | | | |
| EV 1.5 | Discusses the reliability of the data v | with reference to the raw data, calcu | llated values, or graph. | |
| Mark | Not at all = 0 | Partial = 1 | Complete = 2 | |
| Awarded | States no conclusion or the conclusion | States a conclusion based on a | States a conclusion, with justification | , |
| | is based on unreasonable | reasonable interpretation of the | based on a reasonable interpretation of | the |
| | interpretation. | data. | data. | |

Y = Yes, you definitely succeeded. / N = No, you are missing this. / M = Maybe yes, maybe no, I can't really tell. / - = NA.

| EVALUAT | EVALUATION Aspect 2 – Evaluating procedures | | | |
|---------|--|--|--------------------------------------|----|
| EV 2.1 | Limitations of the investigation are I | listed. | | |
| EV 2.2 | Discussion on how each limitation in | nfluenced the results. | | |
| EV 2.3 | Refers to error bars as visual signal of | of reliability of particular measureme | nts in the data. | |
| EV 2.4 | Considers sufficiency of variations to expose a trend. | | | |
| EV 2.5 | Considers measurement errors from | n instruments. | | |
| EV 2.6 | Considers systematic problems that | may have influenced the data collect | tion. | |
| Mark | Not at all = 0 | Partial = 1 | Complete = 2 | |
| Awarded | Identifies irrelevant weaknesses and | Identifies some weaknesses, but the | Evaluates weaknesses and limitations | s. |
| | limitations. | evaluation is weak or missing. | | |

Y = Yes, you definitely succeeded. / N = No, you are missing this. / M = Maybe yes, maybe no, I can't really tell. / - = NA.

| EVALUAT | EVALUATION Aspect 3 – Improving the investigation | | | |
|---------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----|
| EV 3.1 | Each limitation listed in EV 2.1 has a | suggested improvement. | | |
| EV 3.2 | Suggestions in EV 3.1 are realistic fo | r a school laboratory. | | |
| EV 3.3 | Suggested improvements are explained. | | | |
| EV 3.4 | Suggested improvements will rectify | the associated limitation. | | |
| EV 3.5 | Data is gathered by real instrumenta | ation, not imaginary devices you mus | t invent. | |
| Mark | Not at all = 0 | Partial = 1 | Complete = 2 | |
| Awarded | Suggests no realistic improvements to | Develops a method that allows for | Suggests realistic improvements to | |
| | identified weaknesses and limitations. | the collection of insufficient data. | identified weaknesses and limitation | s. |

Y = Yes, you definitely succeeded. / N = No, you are missing this. / M = Maybe yes, maybe no, I can't really tell. / - = NA.

COMMUNICATION Total - CO: __ / 4

| COMMUNICATION Aspect 1 – Structuring the presentation | | | | |
|---|---|-------------|--------------|--|
| CO 1.1 | Presentation is clear and well-struct | tured. | | |
| CO 1.2 | Presentation is easy to follow by reader. | | | |
| Mark Awarded | Not at all = 0 | Partial = 1 | Complete = 2 | |

Y = Yes, you definitely succeeded. / N = No, you are missing this. / M = Maybe yes, maybe no, I can't really tell. / - = NA.

| COMMUNICATION Aspect 2 – Focusing the presentation | | | |
|--|--|-------------|--------------|
| CO 2.1 | Report facilitates ready understanding of the focus of the investigation. | | |
| CO 2.2 | Report facilitates ready understanding of the process of the investigation. | | |
| CO 2.3 | Report facilitates ready understanding of the outcomes of the investigation. | | |
| Mark Awarded | Not at all = 0 | Partial = 1 | Complete = 2 |